IN THE INITED OF ATEC DISTRICT COLIDT

	IN THE UNITED S	IAIES.	DISTRICT COURT
	FOR THE DIST	TRICT O	F MARYLAND
	(Sout	thern Div	ision)
DATASCI, LLC,)	
)	
	Plaintiff/Counter)	
	Defendant,)	
)	Civil Action No. 09-1611-MJG
vs.)	
)	
MEDIDATA SOLUTIONS, INC.,)	
)	
	Defendant/Counter)	
	Plaintiff.)	

DATASCI LLC'S EXPEDITED MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ITS OPPOSITION TO MEDIDATA SOLUTIONS INC.'S MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Plaintiff, DataSci LLC ("DataSci") respectfully moves for an extension of time to respond to Medidata Solutions Inc.'s ("Medidata") Motion for Partial Summary Judgment. The current deadline to respond is September 7. DataSci respectfully requests an extension up to and until September 21, 2010, to file its response.

Pursuant to Local Rule 105.9, DataSci contacted counsel for Medidata on August 25, 2010, to inquire if Medidata would consent to DataSci's request for an extension. (Ex. 1). DataSci's counsel followed up on its request on August 26, 2010. (Ex. 2). Medidata's counsel responded that they were not authorized to consent to DataSci's request and that the necessary client contact was not available. (Ex. 3).

DataSci requests the extension because due to several scheduling conflicts that are unavoidable and cannot be rescheduled. (Ex. 1). One attorney is scheduled to be out of the office due to surgery on a family member that requires his extensive involvement. Another

DataSci attorney is undergoing surgery and will be out of the office for at least two weeks.

Other DataSci attorneys have hearings scheduled in other cases. Additionally, two DataSci

attorneys have to be out of town to assist their children in moves to college.

For the foregoing reasons, these events, coupled with the requisite time necessary to

properly respond to Medidata's motion, support a request for an extension of the deadline to file

DataSci's response to Medidata's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment from September 7 to

September 21, 2010. DataSci respectfully requests, therefore, that the deadline for filing its

response be extended until September 21, 2010.

Dated: August 27, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Richard J. Oparil

Gerard P. Martin (Bar No. 00691)

ROSENBERG MARTIN GREENBERG, LLP

25 South Charles Street, Suite 2115

Baltimore, MD 21201

(410) 727-6600

(410) 727-1115 (fax)

Richard J. Oparil (Bar No. 13063)

PATTON BOGGS LLP

2550 M Street, NW

Washington, DC 20037

(202) 457-6000

(202) 457-6315 (fax)

2

Kevin M. Bell (Bar No. 14382) PATTON BOGGS LLP 8484 Westpark Drive McLean, VA 22102 (703) 744-8000 (703) 744-8001 (fax)

Attorneys for Plaintiff DataSci, LLC

Of Counsel:

Scott A.M. Chambers, Ph.D. William John McKeague, Ph.D. Lacy Kolo, Ph.D. Christopher Adams PATTON BOGGS LLP 8484 Westpark Drive McLean, VA 22102 (703) 744-8000 (703) 744-8001 (fax)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 27, 2010 a copy of the foregoing DATASCI LLC'S EXPEDITED MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE ITS REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE A SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, RELIEF FROM THE PROTECTIVE ORDER TO FILE A NEW COMPLAINT was served on the following parties by electronic mail:

Savalle S. Sims, Esq. Janine A. Carlan, Esq. Arent Fox LLP 1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036-5339 (202) 857-8948 (202) 857-6395 (fax)

> /s/ William John McKeague William John McKeague